

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

wh

ENTEED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Po Policia (Sp. 1450) Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov.

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/552,657	04/19/2000	Fomohiro Nakajima	0557-4969-2	2974
22850	7590 12/15/2003		FXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PHAN, JAMES	
	A, VA 22314		ARI UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M	
		Application N .	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/552,657	NAKAJIMA, TOMO	NAKAJIMA, TOMOHIRO	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		James Phan	2872		
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	nappars on th covrsheet v	vith the correspondence add	lress	
THE - Ext - If th - If N - Fai - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI arisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ** SK (i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 38 C ** SK (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 38 C ** SK (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the state of	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	nmunication.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 November 2003.			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			merits is	
Disposi	tion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 2.3.14-17 and 34-36 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) 2.14-17 and 34-36 is/are rejected Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.			
Applica	tion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a 13)□ \$	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All bill Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bi Section action for of the complex of the attached detailed Office action for or document of the complex of the compl	ments have been received, ments have been received in priority documents have been received in creau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies no mestic priority under 35 U.S.C ne first sentence of the specifie	Application No n received in this National S it received § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application D been received.	application) Data Sheet.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence				

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/552,657

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment After Final

The amendment after final filed 11/10/03 has been entered. Newly submitted claim 36 will be grouped with the elected claims.

Election/Restrictions

Claim 34 has been reinstated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each of claims 14 and 15 recites an aperture which is incorporated into deflection surfaces of a deflection unit for shaping the light beams to have a given size; each of the claims also recites "the given spot size of the light beams is larger than a size of each of the deflection surfaces" which is vague and indefinite and which appears to be misdescriptive because the "the given spot size of the light beams" cannot be larger than "a size of each of the deflection surfaces" (see Figs. 6A-6B and/or Figs. 5A-5B and the accompanying text of the present application). Also, claims 16-17 are rejected in that they are dependent on the indefinite claims and thus inherit the deficiency above.

Withdrawal of Allowed Claims

The indicated allowability of claims 2, 14-15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Sakuma et al and Suzuki et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Application/Control Number: 09/552,657

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2, 14-15 (see "Note" below), 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al.

In re claims 2, 14-15, 34 and 36 Suzuki et al discloses a multibeam scanning apparatus which comprises a light source unit having semiconductor lasers (101a,101b) and coupling lenses (102a,102b) for emitting light beams (A and B) crossed each other at a point; a polygon mirror (104M) having a light beam restriction unit positioned close to the point (note that the periphery of each of the deflection surfaces has been taken as an aperture or restriction unit for shaping the light beams); a scanning lens (105) for forming images on a scanned surface (109); and the spot size of the light beams incident to the polygon mirror is larger than a size of each of the reflection (deflection) surfaces in at least the main scanning direction. See Figs. 19-21 and the accompanying text.

Note that "the given spot size of the light beams is larger than a size of each of the deflection surfaces" recited in each of claims 14 and 15 has been interpreted as --the spot size of the light beams incident to the deflection unit is larger than a size of each of the deflection surfaces--.

Application/Control Number: 09/552,657

Art Unit: 2872

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Sakuma et al.

Sakuma et al discloses a multibeam scanning apparatus which comprises a deflection unit having deflection surfaces (polygonal deflector 4), a light source unit for emitting light beams (c1 and c2) crossed each other on a deflection surface (facet) of the deflection unit (Fig. 6 and column 7, lines 45-48); and a restriction unit provided on each of the deflection surfaces. Note that the periphery of each of the deflection surfaces has been taken as an aperture or restriction unit for shaping the light beams to achieve a desired spot size.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to discloses a multibeam scanning apparatus having the structure specified in each of claims 3 and 16-17.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Phan whose telephone number is (703) 308-

4810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (703) 305-0024. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Phan, J.

Dec. 8, 2003

Jamegh